arXiv:1508.00544v1 [hep-th] 3 Aug 2015

Canonical Realization of BMS Symmetry. Quadratic Casimir

Joaquim Gomis[1] and Giorgio Longhi[2*]
[1] *Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos,*
Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain[†] *and*
[2] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita' di Firenze,*
Via G.Sansone 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy

(Dated: August 4, 2015)

Abstract: We study the canonical realization of BMS symmetry for a massive scalar field introduced in reference [14]. We will construct an invariant scalar product for the generalized momenta. As a consequence we will introduce a quadratic Casimir with the supertranslations

PACS numbers: 04.30.-w, , 11.30.Cp, 02.20.Tw, 04.20.Ha
Keywords: BMS symmetries. Casimir. Massive Klein-Gordon field

Motivation and results. — Recently there has been a renewed interest in the BMS group [1]. It has been shown the BMS invariance of the gravitational scattering [2], the relation among soft graviton theorems [3] and BMS supertranslations [4]. The relation among supertranslations, gravitational memory and soft gravitons theorems has been also studied [5], There is also the proposal that BMS group could be useful to understand holography in asymptotically flat space times [6] [7] [8] [9]. Related to these developments has been the study of asymptotic symmetries in quantum field theories, in the case of QED, see [10] for the massless case and [11] [12] for the massive case. A recent overview on the whole subject is given by Strominger at Strings 2015 [13].

In this work we study the canonical realization of the BMS symmetry for a free massive real scalar field in four dimensions introduced in [14]. The Poincare generators $P^\mu, M^{\mu\nu}$ are written in terms of the Fourier modes $a(\vec{k}), a^*(\vec{k})$ of the plane wave expansion of the Klein-Gordon field. The momentum mass-shell condition $q^2 - m^2 = 0$ defines a 3d dimensional space like-hyperboloid H_3^1 [15]. It is useful to introduce a differential operator in this space $D = -m^2\Delta + 3$, where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on H_3^1.

It happens that the four-dimensional momenta k^μ are zero modes of D, this suggest to look for the zero modes of this operator in general. These are given by an infinite set of function $w_{l,m}$, defined on H_3^1, unique up to rescalings, $l = 0, 1, 2, ... \mid m \mid\leq l$. The explicit expression of this functions was given in [14], see also next section. The functions $w_{l,m}$ can be considered as a generalization of four momenta. This allows to define the supertranslations for massive scalar field $P_{l,m}$ in terms of $w_{l,m}$ and the Fourier modes $a(\vec{k}), a^*(\vec{k})$, see next section.

The transformation of the scalar field under spacetime translations is obtained from the scalar nature of the field under Poincare transformations. This not the case for supertranslations. The supertranslations acts on the field and their conjugated momenta as a non-local linear canonical transformation. All together $P_{l,m}, M_{\mu\nu}$ give the infinite vector representation of the BMS group. Note that the appearance of the BMS symmetry introduced for the scalar field [14] is not an asymptotic gauge symmetry as in [1].

We will construct a BMS invariant scalar product for the generalized momenta $w_{l,m}$, or for a rescaling of them. We write this product in terms of an infinite dimensional matrix $\eta^{l,m;l',m'}$ that generalizes the Minkowski metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ for the scalar product of 4d momenta k_μ. In a suitable basis of these zero modes $\eta^{l',m';l.m} = \delta^{l',l}\delta^{m',m}$. The convergence of this scalar product has also been studied[1]. The scalar product is the key ingredient for the definition of a configuration space, see, for example [16], and therefore to give meaning to coordinates $x^{l.m}$ conjugated to $w_{l,m}$.

Using this infinite dimensional metric we will construct a quadratic Casimir with the supertranslations ”P^2” $= \eta^{l,m;l',m'}P^*_{l,m}P_{l',m'}$. Physically this Casimir allows us to define the BMS masshell constraint.

Our analysis of the scalar product and the Casimir ”P^2” will be useful to study BMS symmetries and it be useful to the study of scattering S-matrix, since the in and out states are free fields, also to study particles, strings,.. with BMS symmetries. The canonical realization of BMS considered in [14] and here could be extended to other fields with non-vanishing spin and to a massless fields.

---

[1] Details and prove of the results will presented elsewhere

Canonical Realization of BMS Symmetry. —

We consider a free real scalar field $\Phi(t,\vec{x})$ of mass m in four dimensions. The Fourier expansion is given by

$$\Phi(t,\vec{x}) = \int_{R^3} \tilde{d}k[a(\vec{k})e^{-i(x\cdot k)} + a^*(\vec{k})e^{i(x\cdot k)}] \qquad (1)$$

where $(\,\cdot\,)$ is the ususl Minkowski Lorentz invariant product and $\tilde{d}k = \frac{d^3k}{\Omega(\vec{k})}, \Omega(\vec{k}) = (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\vec{k}) = (2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$ and $k_i = -k^i$.

The realization of the Poincare group in terms of Fourier modes of the scalar field $\Phi(t,\vec{x})$ is given by

$$P_\mu = \int_{R^3} \tilde{d}k\, k_\mu a^*(\vec{k})a(\vec{k}), \qquad (2)$$

$$M^{0j} = -i\int_{R^3} \tilde{d}k a^*(\vec{k})\omega(\vec{k})\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}_j}a(\vec{k}) \qquad (3)$$

$$M^{ij} = -i\int_{R^3} \tilde{d}k a^*(\vec{k})(k^i\frac{\partial}{\partial k^j} - k^j\frac{\partial}{\partial k^i})a(\vec{k}) \qquad (4)$$

as one check the algebra by using the Poisson brackets $\{a(\vec{k}), a^*(\vec{k}')\} = -i\Omega(\vec{k})\delta^3(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')$.

The mass-shell condition for $\Phi(t,\vec{x})$ is given by $q^2 - m^2 = 0$; it defines the 3d space like hyperboloid, H_3^1, in the space of momenta, with coordinates $\vec{k}$. The relation with the embedding momenta q_μ is $q_0 = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$, $q_i = k_i$. The induced metric on H_3^1 is given by

$$ds^2 = \hat{\eta} = (\frac{1}{\omega^2(\vec{k})}k_i k_j - \delta_{ij})dk_i\, dk_j. \qquad (5)$$

In polar coordinates $ds^2 = -m^2(\frac{1}{r^2+1}dr^2 + r^2 ds^2_{S^2})$ where $r = \frac{|\vec{k}|}{m}$, and $ds^2_{S^2}$ is the metric of the sphere. This expression, apart dimensions, is used in [11] to give a foliation of the Minkowski space-time.

The 3d space-like hyperboloid, H_3^1 has constant negative curvature [15] $R = -\frac{1}{m}$. It is an Euclidean AdS$_3$ space, that can be written as the coset $\frac{SO(4,1)}{SO(3,1)}$. The coordinates are a global parametrization of the Euclidean AdS$_3$ space. The Laplace Beltrami operator on H_3^1 is given by

$$\Delta = \vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{2}{m^2}\vec{k}\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{m^2}(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{\nabla})^2 \qquad (6)$$

It is an elliptic operator and has the property $\Delta k_\mu = \frac{3}{m^2}k_\mu$. We introduce the operator D

$$D = -m^2\Delta + 3, \qquad (7)$$

the four momenta are zero modes of D, $Dk^\mu = 0$. Since we want to find a generalization of four momenta to construct the supertranslations this property suggest to study all zero modes of $Df(k) = 0$. Introducing spherical coordinates, these are given by the functions [14]

$$w_{l,m}(\vec{k}) = u_l(r)Y_{l,m}(\hat{k}), \qquad (8)$$

$$u_l(r) = r^l F((l-1)/2, (l+3)/2; l+3/2; -r^2), \qquad (9)$$

where F is the Hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$, $Y_{l,m}$ are the spherical harmonics and $r = \frac{|\vec{k}|}{m}$. The set $\{w_{l,m}\}$ is not the only set of solutions of the equation $Df(\vec{k}) = 0$. For given values of $\{l,m\}$ there are two independent solutions of the equation. The first is $\{w_{l,m}\}$, which has a good behaviour for $r \to 0$, the second set of solutions is singular in $r = 0$. This is the reason for the choice $\{w_{l,m}\}$.

The functions $w_{l,m}$ span an infinite dimensional non unitary representation of the Lorentz group. This representation has the following properties

i) it is the only representation [14] with an invariant subspace of dimension 4,

ii) for $l = 0,1$ $w_{l,m}$ is the four-vector k^μ in the spherical basis

$$w_{0,0} = \sqrt{1 = r^2}Y_{0,0} = \frac{\omega(\vec{k})}{m}Y_{0,0}, \qquad (10)$$

$$w_{1,m} = rY_{1,m} = \frac{|\vec{k}|}{m}Y_{1,m} \qquad (11)$$

iii) the functions $w_{lm}(r,\theta,\phi)$ have an asymptotic behavior like k^μ, for all values of $l = 0,1,2,....$

The presence of the zero modes $w_{l,m}(\vec{k})$ enables us to define the supertranslations in terms of Fourier modes

$$P_{l,m} = \int_{R^3} \tilde{dk}\, w_{l,m}(\vec{k}) a^*(\vec{k}) a(\vec{k}). \qquad (12)$$

In reference [14] it is proved that these integrals are well defined and that $M^{\mu\nu}, P_{l,m}$ verifiy the BMS algebra.

BMS Invariant Scalar product and Quadratic Casimir. —

Since we have an infinite set of function $w_{l,m}$ that generalizes the four momenta k_μ, it is natural to ask if there exists a scalar product, invariant under BMS, which generalizes the usual Minkowski metric.

The strategy to construct this scalar product will be to require the hermicity of Lorenz generators acting on the set $w_{l,m}$ or a rescaling of them. We first consider the boost $K_3 = M_{0j}$. We look for a new basis of zero modes $\{k_{l,m}\}$ where the hermiticity is studied using the diagonal scalar product, for $l > 1$,

$$p(\vec{k}, \vec{k}') = \sum_{l>1} k^*_{l',m'}(\vec{k}) \eta^{l',m';l.m} k_{lm}(\vec{k}') \qquad (13)$$

with

$$\eta^{l',m';l.m} = \delta^{l',l} \delta^{m',m} \qquad (14)$$

For $l = 0, 1$ we will use the ordinary Minkowski metric.

The functions $\{k_{l,m}\}$ will be obtained by a rescaling of $\{w_{l,m}\}$

$$k_{l,m} = N(l) \frac{1}{M(l)}\, w_{l,m},\ \ M(l) = \frac{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(l+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2+\frac{l}{2})\Gamma(\frac{l+3}{2})}. \qquad (15)$$

The factor $M(l)$ is introduced in order to have simple behaviour for $l \to \infty$ since we have $|w_{l,m}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}\sqrt{1+r^2} M(l)$.

The factor $N(l)$ is unknow it is determined by imposing the hermiticity of K_3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 k_{l,m} &= \frac{N(l)}{N(l+1)} a_{l,m} k_{l+1,m} + \frac{N(l)}{N(l-1)} b_{l,m} k_{l-1,m} \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A}_{l,m} k_{l+1,m} + \mathcal{B}_{l,m} k_{l-1,m}. \end{aligned} \qquad (16)$$

where $a_{l,m} = -i(l-1)\mathcal{C}_{l+1,m}, b_{l,m} = i(l+2)\mathcal{C}_{l,m}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{l,m} = \sqrt{\frac{(l-m)(l+m)}{(2l-1)(2l+1)}}$.

The generator K_3 will be self adjoint if

$$\mathcal{B}_{l,m} = \overline{A_{l-1,m}}. \qquad (17)$$

which implies

$$[i(l+2)\mathcal{C}_{l,m}] \frac{N(l)}{N(l-1)} = [i(l-2)\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{l,m}] \frac{N(l-1)}{N(l)},$$

If we define $E(l) = [N(l)]^2$, we get the recurrence relation

$$E(l+1) = \frac{(l-1)}{(l+3)} E(l). \qquad (18)$$

This recurrence equation is meaningful only for $l \geq 2$. The solution is given by

$$E(l) = \frac{4!}{(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)} E(2), \quad l \geq 2, \qquad (19)$$

where $E(2)$ has an arbitrary value.

The action of K_3 on $\{k_{l,m}\}$, apart from a phase factor, is

$$K_3 k_{l,m} = \mathcal{A}_{l,m} k_{l+1,m} + \mathcal{B}_{l,m} k_{l-1,m}, \qquad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{l,m} &= -i\sqrt{(l-1)(l+3)}\mathcal{C}_{l+1,m}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{l,m} &= i\sqrt{(l-2)(l+2)}\mathcal{C}_{l,m}, \end{aligned} \qquad (21)$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_{l,m} = \overline{\mathcal{B}_{l+1,m}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{1,m} = \mathcal{B}_{2,m} = 0. \qquad (22)$$

The action of the other generartosrs can be obtained from $K_1 = i[K_3, L_2]$, $K_2 = -i[K_3, L_1]$, and the standard action of L_3 and $L_\pm$

$$L_3 Y_{l,m} = m Y_{l,m}, \qquad (23)$$

$$L_\pm Y_{l,m} = \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m\pm)} Y_{l,m}. \qquad (24)$$

We obtain

$$K_\pm k_{l,m} = \pm(\mathcal{D}^\pm_{l,m} k_{l+1,m\pm1} + \mathcal{E}^\pm_{l,m} k_{l-1,m\pm1}), \qquad (25)$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are defined in equation (21) while $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are

$$\mathcal{D}^{\pm}_{l,m} = \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m \pm 1)}\mathcal{A}_{l,m\pm1} - \sqrt{(l+1)(l+2) - m(m \pm 1)}\mathcal{A}_{l,m}, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\pm}_{l,m} = \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m \pm 1)}\mathcal{B}_{l,m\pm1} - \sqrt{(l-1)(l) - m(m \pm 1)}\mathcal{B}_{l,m}, \quad (27)$$

The hermicity of the Lorentz generartors implies the invariance of the scalar product under Lorentz transformations. It is also invariant under supertranslations, since these form an abelian algebra.

If we define the supertranslations using the set of zero modes $\{k_{l,m}\}$

$$P_{l,m} = \int_{R^3} \tilde{d}k \, k_{l,m}(\vec{k}) a^*(\vec{k}) a(\vec{k}). \quad (28)$$

The BMS algebra becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [M^{i,j}, P_{l,m}] &= \epsilon_{ijk} P_{l',m'} (L_k)_{l',m';l,m} \\ [M^{0,j}, P_{l,m}] &= -P_{l',m'} (K_j)_{l',m';l,m}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the matrices $\parallel L_k \parallel$ and $\parallel K_j \parallel$ are defined in equations (21), (23), (24) and (25). This representation gives the vector representation of the BMS group. Let us observe that the supertranslations act as a canonical, but non local, transformation of the scalar field and its momentum, see [14].

The invariant scalar product allows to define a quadratic Casimir for the supertranslations

$$"P^2" = \eta^{l,m;l',m'} P^*_{l,m} P_{l',m'} \quad (30)$$

One can check $["P^2", BMS] = 0$ using (29).

Therefore the BMS masshell condition is given by

$$\eta^{l,m;l',m'} P^*_{l,m} P_{l',m'} = m^2_{BMS} \quad (31)$$

This condition will be be useful to construct particles, strings,.. with BMS symmetries.

Acknowledgments . — We acknowledge discussions with Roberto Casalbuoni and Jaume Gomis. This work has been supported in part by FPA2013-46570, 2014-SGR-104 and Consolider CPAN and by the Spanish MINECO under project MDM-2014-0369 of ICCUB (Unidad de Ex- celencia Maria de Maeztu)

---

* gomis@ecm.ub.edu
† longhi@fi.infn.it

[1] Bondi H., van der Burg M.G.J., Metzner A.W.K., 1962 Proc.Roy.Soc.A, 269, 21 (paper VII); Sachs R.,Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond. **270**, (1962), 103; Sachs R.,Phys.Rev., **128** (1962), 2851.
[2] A. Strominger, JHEP **1407** (2014) 152 [arXiv:1312.2229 [hep-th]].
[3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **140** (1965) B516.
[4] T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra and A. Strominger, JHEP **1505** (2015) 151 [arXiv:1401.7026 [hep-th]].
[5] A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, arXiv:1411.5745 [hep-th].
[6] T. Banks, “A Critique of pure string theory: Heterodox opinions of diverse dimensions,” hep-th/0306074.
[7] J. de Boer and S. N. Solodukhin, Nucl. Phys. B **665** (2003) 545 [hep-th/0303006].
[8] G. Arcioni and C. Dappiaggi, Nucl. Phys. B **674** (2003) 553 [hep-th/0306142].
[9] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, JHEP **1005** (2010) 062 [arXiv:1001.1541 [hep-th]].
[10] T. He, P. Mitra, A. P. Porfyriadis and A. Strominger, JHEP **1410** (2014) 112 [arXiv:1407.3789 [hep-th]].
[11] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, arXiv:1505.05346 [hep-th].
[12] D. Kapec, M. Pate and A. Strominger, arXiv:1506.02906 [hep-th].
[13] A. Strominger, Talk and Strings 2015, https://strings2015.icts.res.in/
[14] G.Longhi and M.Materassi, J.Math.Phys., **40**,480 (1999).
[15] R.Raczka, N.Limic and J.Niederle, J.Math.Phys.**7**,2026(1966); **8**,1079(1967).
[16] U.H.Niederer and L.O’Raifeartaigh, Fortschritte der Physik, **22**,111(1974).